

Adam Rush  
Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
11331 W. Chinden Bldg 8  
Boise, ID 83704

9/3/22

RECEIVED

2022 SEP -7 AM 9:52

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Dear Mr Rush

You wrote (thank you, again) about an additional \$500 in fees due before you could provide hundreds of pages of case related data which you are withholding from me. I asked PUC/AG staff (including Ms Noriyuki) multiple times for assistance on waiver qualification and have not gotten a reply.

No reply, by the way, IS somewhat regular order regarding numerous questions/requests I have put to the PUC/AG system.

The court indicated recently it is the PUC that must process a waiver request (or a denial?) on my behalf and I am again asking what would the AG/PUC like me to do about having such a request entertained? **Perhaps you could encourage, please, the right parties to respond.**

Frankly, respectfully, it is a curious juxtaposition that the very two parties having worked diligently, to protect and cover for CenturyLink's documented indiscretions, are among the same parties appointed to determine if I should get pertinent case documents timely. Incidentally, along the same line of practice, it is something a mystery for me how the system finds it suitable for justice that the PUC has full authority to engineer the mechanics 'my' appeal. One relatively minor condition I requested IN that appeal call was to let the Respondent class designation be left to the Courts discretion. That request was not only disallowed it was dismissed without any comment or condition...by the Court (?) I cannot tell. My call to treat the AG/PUC and its joint/equally minded collaborators the same earned recognition seemed justly fitting to me.

Please let me know what you want from me to have the PUC address the waiver request.

My intent is to conform to the better information processing rules/procedures consistent with state/court process. My application/appeal to the Bar system for ProBono assistance has not been answered. Having been assured of a response I am hopeful and practicing patience.

The call for me to respond competently to the 'Proposed Agency Record on Appeal' is daunting and I expect not possible...more so without the \$500 of fee pages and other not answered questions. I regret the burden my ignorance of process, overall, puts on some people.

Sincerely,  
Richard Keavy

cc: proscribed 3 attorneys by USPS on this date.